





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D C 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/641,088	08/17/2000	Dirk Reifel	23901-901.02	4519	
75	90 11/21/2002				
Barley, Snyder, Senft & Cohen, LLC 126 East King Street Lancaster, PA 17602-2893			EXAMINER		
			HECKENBERG JR, DONALD H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1722		
			DATE MAILED: 11/21/2002	!	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary								
			09/641,088 REIFEL, DIRK					
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap	Donald Heckenb		1722 orrespondence ac	dress			
Period for Reply								
THE - External after aft	MORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. The ensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. The six (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replement of the provision of the	.136(a). In no event, howe oly within the statutory mini I will apply and will expire S te, cause the application to	ver, may a reply be tim mum of thirty (30) days SI < (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONEI	ely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this c O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on the	amendment filed	on July 29, 2002	2.				
2a)[This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-fir	nal.					
3) 🗌	Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under tion of Claims				ne merits is			
•	Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application	ın						
7)	4a) Of the above claim(s) 7-12 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)								
·	6) Claim(s) 1 and 13-16 is/are rejected.							
)⊡ Claim(s) <u>2-6</u> is/are objected to.							
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirer	ment.					
	tion Papers							
9)[•	The specification is objected to by the Examin-	er.						
10)[-	The drawing(s) filed on 17 August 2000 is/are:	a) accepted or b)⊠ objected to by	the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	he drawing(s) be held	d in abeyance. Se	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)∏ approve	d b)∏ disappro	ved by the Examin	ier.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. X Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been rece	ived.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
*	3. Copies of the certified copies of the price application from the International B See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	ureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		Stage			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes		•		l application).			
;	a) The translation of the foreign language pr Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	rovisional application	on has been rec	eived.	,			
Attachme		. ,	00 - 20	• •				
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No Patent Application (PT				



Art Unit: 1722

- 1. Applicant's election of Group I (claims 1-6, and 13-16) in Paper No. 8 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).
- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because The abstract should be in narrative form. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the positioning means (as recited in claim 1) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

4. Claim 4 is objected to because of the following informalities: it would be better to write out the word



Art Unit: 1722

"approximately" rather than use an abbreviation. Appropriate correction is required.

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 13-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 13, line 1, the phrase "plug-like" renders the claim(s) indefinite because the claim(s) include elements not actually disclosed (those encompassed by "like"), thereby rendering the scope of the claim(s) unascertainable. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Note claims 14-16 depend from claim 13.

- 7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the



Art Unit: 1722

invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Müller et al. (US Pat. No. 6,309,566).

Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

Müller teaches a method of making an optical component having a molded body of transparent moldable material comprising introducing a closure member (11) into a coupling portion (7) of a mold (1), filling the moldable material (28) into the mold, introducing a carrier of an optical transducer (4) through a mold opening (2), aligning the carrier in relation to the mold utilizing at least one positioning means, curing the moldable material, and removing the closure member (see col. 5, lns. 26 - col. 6, ln. 2, and col. 6, lns. 10-14).

Note that the claim 1 of the instant application does not require the steps of the recited method to be performed in any specific manner. Therefore, Müller anticipates the claim language as recited. Note further, that if the method was set



Art Unit: 1722

forth in a specific order of steps in order to distinguish over Müller, the new claim would not necessarily be patentable. See In re Burhans, 154 F.2d 690, 69 USPQ 330 (Cust. & Pat. App. 1946) (noting the selection of any order of performing process steps is prima facie obvious in the absence of new or unexpected results absent of any proof in the record that the order of performing the steps produces any new and unexpected result).

9. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Musk (US Pat. No. 5,094,518).

Musk teaches a method of making an optical component having a molded body of transparent moldable material comprising introducing a closure member (15) into a coupling portion of a mold (2), filling the moldable material into the mold, introducing a carrier (1) of an optical transducer through a mold opening, aligning the carrier in relation to the mold utilizing at least one positioning means (fig. 1c), curing the moldable material, and removing the closure member (see figs. 1c-1f, and col. 2, ln. 58 - col. 3, ln. 17).

10. Claims 2-6 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in



Art Unit: 1722

independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

- 11. Claims 13-16 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

The prior art of record fails to teach or suggest a method of making an optical component having a molded body of a transparent moldable material comprising introducing a closure member into a coupling portion of a mold, filling a moldable material into the mold, introducing a carrier of an optical transducer through a mold opening, aligning the carrier in relation to the mold utilizing at least one positioning means, curing the moldable material, and removing the closure member, wherein the coupling portion has an opening, and the opening has a circumferential edge for receiving a corresponding sealing area of the closure member as recited in claim 2.

The closest prior art taught by Müller and Musk is described above. Müller and Musk both teach the closure member to be placed in, and contacting the inside of an opening of the



Art Unit: 1722

coupling portion, as opposed to the opening having a circumferential edge for receiving a corresponding sealing area of the closure member as recited in claim 2.

13. The following reference is cited, but not relied upon, as being pertinent to the instant application:

Kropp (US Pat. No. 6,312,624) teaches a method for producing an electrooptical module.

14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Donald Heckenberg whose telephone number is (703) 308-6371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 9:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Jan Silbaugh, can be reached at (703) 308-3829. The official fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9310 for responses to non-final action, and 703-872-9311 for responses to final actions. The unofficial fax phone number is (703) 305-3602.

Art Unit: 1722

Page 8

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0661.

Donald Heckenberg November 13, 2002

> 報報(COTTO) SMPFREEDOGS DAGES COLAI

> > Lat 1722

11/18/05